

MATRIX IN RESPONSE TO AT&T EX PARTE OF DECEMBER 11, 2002

(updated to reflect answers to further staff questions)

December 18, 2002

| AT&T Ex Parte/ Wilson Aff. Agmt # | Company | Date | Agreement | Relevant State(s) | On Qwest Web Site | Status of terms related to § 251(b) and (c) | Description of Terms and Status |
|---|------------|----------|---|----------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| 1 | Allegiance | 12/24/01 | Confidential Billing Settlement | CO, WA | No | Not in effect | <p>The terms concerning the rate for DS/0 coordinated installation without testing were filed pursuant to Section 252 in an interconnection agreement amendment in Washington on 2/1/02 and approved on 2/27/02. The terms were filed for approval in Colorado on 3/26/02 and approved on 5/8/02. Moreover, the relevant rate was established by the 12/21/01 Colorado cost docket order (No. 99A-577-T) and subsequently reduced by the Commission on 4/17/02 (No. C-02-409). The new rate appears in Qwest's Colorado SGAT dated 8/12/02.</p> <p>Mr. Wilson's Reply Declaration and attached matrix, dated November 7, 2002 ("W-11/07/02") do not identify any additional terms raising Section 252 filing issues.</p> |
| 12 | Eschelon | 11/15/00 | Letter from Qwest Regarding Daily Usage Information | CO, ID, UT, WA | No | Not in effect | <p>As Mr. Wilson's Declaration and attached matrix, dated October 15, 2002 ("W-10/15/02") agrees that this agreement, including terms related to DUF issues, was terminated by the March 1, 2002 Settlement Agreement (at ¶ 3(d)) and the completion of the transfer to a mechanized process.</p> <p>Mr. Wilson (W-11/07/02) alleges that Qwest "should" make this agreement's provisions available without rebutting the fact that this</p> |

| AT&T Ex Parte/ Wilson Aff. Agmt # | Company | Date | Agreement | Relevant State(s) | On Qwest Web Site | Status of terms related to § 251(b) and (c) | Description of Terms and Status |
|---|---------|------|-----------|----------------------|----------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
|---|---------|------|-----------|----------------------|----------------------------|--|---------------------------------|

| | | | | | | | |
|----|-----------------|---------|--|-------------------|----|----------------------|---|
| | | | | | | | agreement has terminated. |
| 16 | Eschelon | 2/22/02 | Settlement Agreement Letter from Qwest | CO, ID, UT, WA | No | Not in effect | <p>This is merely a proposal letter and not a final agreement. In any event, the terms of this letter were formalized and superseded by the March 1, 2002 Settlement Agreement discussed in Qwest's previous matrices.</p> <p>Mr. Wilson (W-11/07/02) alleges that Qwest "should" make certain services available to other CLECs without rebutting the fact that this document does not represent a final contract and in any event was superseded by the March 1, 2002 agreement.</p> |
| 17 | Global Crossing | 9/18/00 | Settlement Agreement and Release | CO, WA | No | Not in effect | <p>Provisions of this agreement reflecting terms and conditions of UNE combinations in Colorado and Washington were superseded by interconnection agreement amendments approved in Colorado on 12/17/00 and in Washington on 11/29/00.</p> <p>¶ 6(a) and (b) is a resolution of a past dispute with backward looking consideration.</p> <p>Other issues relating to UNE-P conversions have been fully executed and are superseded and reflected in ¶ 2 of the 7/13/01 <i>Confidential Billing Settlement Agreement</i> with Global Crossing discussed in Qwest's previous matrices.</p> |

| AT&T Ex Parte/ Wilson Aff. Agmt # | Company | Date | Agreement | Relevant State(s) | On Qwest Web Site | Status of terms related to § 251(b) and (c) | Description of Terms and Status |
|---|--------------|----------|---|----------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | | <p>Both paragraphs 7 and 8 relate to installation intervals for UNE-P requests. The interconnection amendments for Colorado (approved on 12/17/00) and Washington (approved 11/29/00) Commissions contain comprehensive provisions defining UNE-P and delineating intervals standards. Further, paragraph 2 of the 7/13/01 contract, which was filed for approval in August of 2002, refers to standard provisioning intervals specified in the existing interconnection agreements or state commission rules.</p> <p>Mr. Wilson (W-11/07/02) only alleges that “it is not clear” that this contract has been superseded without stating any additional facts. In fact, it has been.</p> |
| 20 | MCI WorldCom | 12/14/00 | Confidential Billing Settlement Agreement | CO, NE, WA, UT, IA | No | Filed; Not in effect | <p>¶ 2(a) All Section 251 matters were superseded by the 6/29/01 <i>Confidential Billing Settlement Agreement</i>, and Section 251 matters in that subsequent agreement were filed with the applicable state commissions, or superseded by the filed and approved interconnection agreement amendments, executed 6/29/01, and approved by Colorado on 12/23/01, by Nebraska on 10/16/01, by Washington on 11/29/01, by Utah on 11/29/01, and by Iowa on 10/19/01.</p> |

| AT&T Ex Parte/ Wilson Aff. Agmt # | Company | Date | Agreement | Relevant State(s) | On Qwest Web Site | Status of terms related to § 251(b) and (c) | Description of Terms and Status |
|---|---------|------|-----------|----------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | | <p>All Section 251 issues in ¶ 2(b) were superseded by filed interconnection agreement amendments executed on 6/29/01.</p> <p>¶ 2(c) All Section 251 matters, including local reciprocal compensation rate disputes, were superseded by the 6/29/01 <i>Confidential Billing Settlement Agreement</i>, and Section 251 matters in that subsequent agreement were filed with the applicable state commissions, or were superseded by the filed and approved interconnection agreement amendments executed on 6/29/01, and approved by Colorado on 12/23/01, by Nebraska on 10/16/01, by Washington on 11/29/01, by Utah on 11/29/01, and by Iowa on 10/19/01.</p> <p>¶ 3 concerns the reservation of the parties' rights and the settlement of a historical dispute and was, in any event, superseded by a filed and approved interconnection agreement amendment related to reciprocal compensation, executed on 6/29/01, and approved by Colorado on 12/23/01, by Nebraska on 10/16/01, by Washington on 11/29/01, by Utah on 11/29/01, and by Iowa on 10/19/01.</p> <p>Mr. Wilson (W-11/07/02) alleges that Qwest</p> |

| AT&T Ex Parte/ Wilson Aff. Agmt # | Company | Date | Agreement | Relevant State(s) | On Qwest Web Site | Status of terms related to § 251(b) and (c) | Description of Terms and Status |
|---|---------|------|-----------|----------------------|----------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
|---|---------|------|-----------|----------------------|----------------------------|--|---------------------------------|

| | | | | | | | |
|----|--------|----------|--|-----|----|----------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | has not proven that terms of this agreement were filed or are available to other CLECs. However, the proof of filing and the availability of ongoing terms are shown by the amendments of the MCI WorldCom interconnection agreements on file with the state commissions, which Mr. Wilson does not rebut. |
| 21 | McLeod | 4/25/00 | Confidential Settlement Document: US West/Qwest Merger | All | No | Not in effect | <p>This was a proposal letter that was formalized and superseded in its entirety by the <i>Confidential Billing Settlement Agreement</i> with McLeod dated 4/28/00 (discussed in Qwest's previous matrices).</p> <p>Mr. Wilson (W-11/07/02) does not rebut the fact that this letter is superseded by the 4/28/00 contract, a portion of which was filed for state commission approval in August of 2002.</p> |
| 24 | McLeod | 10/26/00 | Purchase Agreement | All | No | N/A | Volume purchase commitments do not reflect new terms and conditions related to 251 services. In any event, this agreement was terminated by the parties on 9/19/02. To the extent the agreement was amended to include a discount provisions, as found by the Minnesota Commission, such amendment was also terminated by the parties on 9/19/02. The superseding agreements containing Section 251 terms have been filed with the state commissions for approval. (See also Att. A to 12/6/02 Qwest ex parte ("Att. A")). |

| AT&T Ex Parte/ Wilson Aff. Agmt # | Company | Date | Agreement | Relevant State(s) | On Qwest Web Site | Status of terms related to § 251(b) and (c) | Description of Terms and Status |
|---|----------|---------|------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| 26 | NextLink | 5/12/00 | Confidential Billing Settlement | CO, UT, WA | No | Not in effect | <p>¶ 1 resolves market expansion line charges, interim number portability, terminating switched access charges, and 800 number originating and terminating records through a settlement involving backward-looking consideration. Therefore, this provision is a settlement of a historical dispute and all conditions have been fully performed.</p> <p>¶ 2, relating to reciprocal compensation, was superseded by interconnection agreement amendments executed by the parties in March 2002 and approved by the Washington, Utah, and Colorado Commissions on 4/25/02, 4/30/02, and 5/13/02 respectively.</p> <p>In ¶ 3, regarding end user customer billing disputes, the parties resolve a past billing dispute through backward-looking consideration. The parties agree that NextLink will comply with established processes and standards; therefore no new terms or conditions of Qwest's Section 251 obligations are stated here.</p> <p>The first part of ¶ 4 is a settlement of a historical dispute regarding collocation and recurring and non-recurring charges. The second part of ¶ 4 addresses collocation terms for the state of Washington, and such terms were superseded by</p> |

| AT&T Ex Parte/ Wilson Aff. Agmt # | Company | Date | Agreement | Relevant State(s) | On Qwest Web Site | Status of terms related to § 251(b) and (c) | Description of Terms and Status |
|--|----------------|-------------|------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
|--|----------------|-------------|------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|

| | | | | | | | |
|----|------------|----------|---|--------|----|-----|--|
| | | | | | | | <p>collocation orders and rates established by the Washington Commission (No. 003013 Part A Order (13th Supplemental Order), Jan. 31, 2001).</p> <p>¶ 5, relating to billing account numbers, is a settlement of a historical dispute.</p> <p>Mr. Wilson (W-11/07/02) claims that Qwest has not indicated whether a subsequent agreement has superseded this agreement and has not discussed paragraph 2. In fact, as stated above, paragraph 2 relates to reciprocal compensation and has been superseded by filed and approved interconnection amendments. (See also Att. A).</p> |
| 47 | Allegiance | 12/20/99 | Directory Assistance Agreement with U S WEST DEX | CO, WA | No | N/A | <p>Qwest has not been able to locate an agreement that matches this description. DEX has no involvement in providing directory assistance. However, from the title of the contract, it is self-evident that it should not be subject to § 252 for at least two reasons: (1) the directory assistance terms are not §251(b) or (c) obligations; (2) the agreement is with U S WEST DEX, rather than with Qwest Corporation as the BOC.</p> |
| 48 | Allegiance | 12/20/99 | Publishing Agreement for Official Listings with DEX | CO, WA | No | N/A | <p>This contract is not subject to § 252 for at least two reasons: (1) the publishing terms are not §251(b) or (c) obligations; (2) the agreement is with U S WEST DEX, rather than with Qwest Corporation as the BOC.</p> |

| AT&T Ex Parte/ Wilson Aff. Agmt # | Company | Date | Agreement | Relevant State(s) | On Qwest Web Site | Status of terms related to § 251(b) and (c) | Description of Terms and Status |
|---|------------|---------|---|----------------------|----------------------------|--|---|
| 49/ 51 | Allegiance | 3/23/00 | Internetwork Calling Name Delivery Service Agreement | CO, WA | No | N/A | <p>Contract #51 does not exist. AT&T probably means the 3/23/00 contract noted by AT&T as #49. This is a boilerplate agreement whose same terms have been approved, remain in effect, are available for opt-in, and are not discriminatory. ICNAM terms and conditions are available to CLECs through each SGAT, Section 9.17. See Colorado SGAT, dated 4/6/00 in the record in the Qwest I proceeding at Appendix K, Tab 92; Colorado SGAT, dated 4/29/00, in the record in the Qwest I proceeding at Appendix K, Tab 1338; Washington SGAT, dated 3/22/00, in the record in the Qwest II at Appendix K, Tab 66; Washington SGAT, dated 6/25/02, in the record in the Qwest II at Appendix K, Tab 1793.</p> <p>ICNAM terms and conditions are also available through filed and approved interconnection agreements. See, for example, Sprint Local Interconnection Agreement, dated July 27, 2000, Section (F)10, approved in Colorado on August 29, 2000, and in Washington on August 28, 2000.</p> |
| 52 | Allegiance | 6/19/02 | Operator Service Agreement | CO, WA | No | Filed | This contract is not subject to § 252 for two reasons: (1) the operator services terms are not Section 251(b) or (c) obligations; and (2) this is a form contract. In any event, this agreement was filed with both relevant states (CO/WA) for |

| AT&T Ex Parte/ Wilson Aff. Agmt # | Company | Date | Agreement | Relevant State(s) | On Qwest Web Site | Status of terms related to § 251(b) and (c) | Description of Terms and Status |
|---|---------|------|-----------|----------------------|----------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
|---|---------|------|-----------|----------------------|----------------------------|--|---------------------------------|

| | | | | | | | |
|----|-----------|----------|---|-----|----|-----|--|
| 61 | McLeodUSA | 10/26/00 | Amendment to Confidential Billing Settlement Agreement | All | No | N/A | <p>approval anyway.</p> <p>¶¶ 1 and 2 are settlements of a historical dispute and have been fully performed. Other provisions contained in ¶ 1 regarding a “new platform” are contained in and superseded by a filed interconnection amendment that was approved by the state commissions. Those interconnection amendments were approved by the states as follows: Colorado: 4/23/01; Iowa: 1/26/01; Nebraska: 2/6/01; Utah: 3/5/01; Washington: 1/31/01; North Dakota: 3/14/01; Idaho; 1/26/01; Montana: 4/19/01; and Wyoming: 3/13/01. Paragraph 1 simply evidences an intention to enter into and file an interconnection agreement, which occurred. Thus, this contract does not contain any terms that should be subject to a filing requirement.</p> <p>The filed and approved amendments providing for the new platform do not terminate until December 31, 2003, and Qwest has filed with the state commissions the notice which triggers the December 31, 2003 termination date.</p> |
|----|-----------|----------|---|-----|----|-----|--|